In Moses 1:21 we read the dramatic culmination of Moses’ confrontation with Satan: “And Moses received strength, and called upon God, saying: In the name of the Only Begotten, depart hence, Satan.” Carl Bloch’s dramatic painting of Jesus’ temptation in the wilderness above parallels Moses’ encounter with Satan. The placement of the prostrate adversary at the feet of Savior recalls the prophecy that the head of the serpent would be crushed beneath the heel of the seed of the woman—meaning Jesus Christ.[1]

In this Essay, we will describe the defeat of Satan as portrayed in Moses 1:12–23 and the Apocalypse of Abraham (ApAb). Though the general similarity between the type scenes depicted in Matthew 4 and Moses 1 is indisputable, the detailed resemblances between ApAb and Moses 1:12–23 are even more striking.
Satan disrupts the worship of God. Recalling Satan’s encounter with Christ in the wilderness, the Adversary tempts the prophet—in his physically weakened state—to worship him (Moses 1:12) or, in the case of ApAb, to “Leave [Yaho’el] and flee!” In the Book of Moses, the title conferred by Deity on Moses as a son of God is explicitly challenged by Satan, who calls him a “son of man.”

According to David Halperin, Satan’s tactics to deceive Abraham are a “last-ditch effort to retain his privileged place in heaven.” If he can persuade Abraham “not to make his ascent, he will perhaps be able to keep his own privileged status.”

Satan’s identity is questioned. Each prophet asks his adversary for credentials, which, not unexpectedly, he fails to provide. In the Book of Moses, the prophet questions Satan directly. By way of contrast, in ApAb, the angel Yaho’el mediates Abraham’s question. But it is an interesting sort of mediation, as indicated by the following summary of the conversation flow:

1. Satan addresses Abraham;
2. Abraham ignores Satan and converses with Yaho’el;
3. Yaho’el directly addresses Satan;

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Satan disrupts the worship of God</th>
<th>Book of Moses</th>
<th>Apocalypse of Abraham</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Satan came tempting him, saying: Moses, son of man, worship me (1:12)</td>
<td>And the impure bird flew down… and said, “What are you doing, …Leave [Yahoel] and flee! (13:4-5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satan’s identity is questioned</td>
<td>Moses… said: Who art thou? (1:13)</td>
<td>I said to the angel, “What is this, my lord?” And he said, “This… is [Satan]” (13:6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satan contrasted with the prophet</td>
<td>I am a son of God… and where is thy glory, that I should worship thee? …I can look upon thee in the natural man (1:13, 14)</td>
<td>[Yahoel]: “Reproach on you, [Satan]! Since Abraham’s portion is in heaven, and yours is on earth (13:7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Abraham addresses Satan but only when and how Yaho’el instructs him to. Later, in 14:9, Abraham slips up and addresses Satan directly, for which he is sharply rebuked by Yaho’el.

Nowhere does Satan address Yaho’el.

**Satan contrasted with the prophet.** In both accounts, Satan’s attempt to disguise his identity is recognized. Lacking divine glory and heavenly inheritance, the Devil is easily and humiliatingly exposed.\[^7\]

Documenting related instances of the Adversary’s deception, the Apostle Paul, drawing on early Jewish tradition,\[^8\] spoke of Satan transforming himself “into an angel of light.”\[^9\] With similar language, Joseph Smith also spoke of the Devil having appeared deceptively “as an angel of light.”\[^10\]

Michael Stone sees a passage in the Latin *Life of Adam* and Eve as implying that “all Satan lacked to look like a heavenly angel was the glory. He lost the glory when he fell, and he could take it on temporarily in order to deceive Adam and Eve.”\[^11\] Thus, Satan is depicted in illustrations of the temptation of Christ, as elsewhere in early Christian art, as angelic in form but differing in color—e.g., appearing with “false glory” in a blue tint rather than in a bright whiteness of glory.\[^12\] Alternatively, one might interpret Satan’s blue color as his appearing,
deceptively, in a form corresponding to the blue robe of the high priest, a robe which represented being clothed in the likeness of the body—the blue-black “shadow”—of the incarnate Logos.\[13\]

Moses, having received a taste of the celestial heights, had already learned to distinguish God’s glory from Satan’s pale imitation.\[14\] He challenged the Adversary, saying: “Where is thy glory, for it is darkness unto me? And I can judge between thee and God.”\[15\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Satan told to depart and cease his deception</th>
<th>Book of Moses</th>
<th>Apocalypse of Abraham</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Get thee hence, Satan; deceive me not (1:16)</td>
<td>Depart from [Abraham]! You cannot deceive him (13:12-13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The prophet received the glory that Satan lost</td>
<td>God said unto me [Moses]: Thou art after the similitude of mine Only Begotten (1:16)</td>
<td>the garment in heaven which was formerly yours [Satan’s] has been set aside for [Abraham] (13:14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satan told to depart a second time</td>
<td>Depart hence, Satan (1:18)</td>
<td>vanish from before me! (14:7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Figure 4. Resemblances for Moses Defeats Satan (Moses 1:16–18)*

**Satan told to depart and cease his deception.** In similar terms, the Book of Moses and *ApAb* both relate a first command for Satan to depart. Both accounts specifically admonish him not to engage in further deception. In *ApAb*, as previously, Yaho’el mediates Abraham’s dialogue with Satan.

**The prophet received the glory that Satan lost.** Satan is reminded that the glory he previously possessed now belongs to the prophet. Moses’ words constitute a second “humiliating exposure of Satan” as an enemy rather than a son of God—reminding him of the divine declaration that Moses “actually is what his adversary falsely claims to be.”\[16\] In *ApAb*, Satan’s false pretensions and the prophet’s right to glory are both confirmed by the affirmation of Yaho’el that Satan’s heavenly garment is now reserved for Abraham\[17\] and that his erstwhile glory will be exchanged for Adam’s bodily “corruption.”\[18\]

**Satan told to depart a second time.** In both texts, Satan is again forcefully told to leave with no further discussion. Moses curtly commands, “Depart hence, Satan,” while in *ApAb* he is told: “Vanish from before me!”—or, in Rubinkiewicz’ translation, “Get away from me!”\[19\]
The wider context of Moses’ command for Satan to depart is noteworthy. In verse 6, Yaho’el instructs him to preface his command for Satan to depart by saying: “May you be the firebrand of the furnace of the earth!” which sounds like an artful way to say “Go to hell!”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Book of Moses</th>
<th>Apocalypse of Abraham</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Satan’s final attempt to win the prophet’s worship</td>
<td>Satan cried with a loud voice, …saying: I am the Only Begotten, worship me (1:19)</td>
<td>[Satan] said, “Abraham!” And I said, “Here am I, your servant!” And the angel said to me, “Answer him not!” (14:9-10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satan’s definitive departure following the invocation of the name of the Son of God</td>
<td>Moses … called upon God, saying: In the name of the Only Begotten, depart hence, Satan. … And… he departed hence. (1:21)</td>
<td>When Setna’el [Satan] saw the inscription [“In the Name of the Father, and the Son, and the Holy Spirit”] he was vanquished (The Book of the Mysteries of the Heavens and the Earth, p. 17)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5. Resemblances for Moses Defeats Satan (Moses 1:19–23)

**Satan’s final attempt to win the prophet’s worship.** In ApAb, Abraham momentarily gives in to Satan’s ploy to continue the dialogue, answering him deferentially: “Here am I, your servant!”[20] To ward off further danger, the angel gives Abraham a stern warning: “Answer him not! … lest his [i.e., Satan’s deceptions] will affect you.”[21] In the Book of Moses, the goal of Satan’s demand is expressed more directly: “Worship me” (Moses 1:19).

Significantly, the cosmic battles depicted in Moses 1 and ApAb are not head-on clashes between the titanic forces of opposing gods or demi-gods. Rather, they are the conflicts of mortals who are caught between those forces, being compelled to choose by devilish adversaries while at the same time being enabled to stand by heavenly powers. Marc Philonenko’s analysis of this unusual aspect of ApAb applies equally well to Moses 1:[22]:

> The interaction between the [good and malevolent powers] does not occur directly but rather through a medium of a human being — Abraham. … Abraham thus becomes [the] place of … battle between two spiritual forces. … In [this] struggle … the Prince of Lights and the Angel of Darkness are fighting in the heart of a man.

**Satan’s definitive departure following the invocation of the name of the Son of God.** In contrast to Satan’s warrantless demand, Moses executes his authoritative command; forcing his adversary to depart through the power of the priesthood after the order of the Son of God.[23] The dramatic turning point of this episode hinges on Satan’s desperate, false claim to be the Only Begotten, countered by Moses’ triumphant invocation of the name of the true Only Begotten.
No corresponding passage is found in ApAb. However, a medieval Ethiopian text provides a relevant parallel. As in Moses 1, it argues the potency of the name of God in driving Satan away. In an account of the battle between Satan’s rebellious armies and the hosts of heaven, the angels twice charged Satan’s ranks unsuccessfully. However, prior to their third attempt, they were given a cross of light inscribed “In the Name of the Father, and the Son, and the Holy Spirit,” and “when Setna’el [Satan] saw that inscription he was vanquished.”[24]
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Figure 1. Copyright original is located in the chapel of the Frederiksborg Castle, Denmark. Used by permission of the Frederiksborgmuseum, with the assistance of Erik Westengaard. Thanks also to the Visual Resources Library of The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints, and the assistance of Carrie Snow, Nancy Sargent, and Bruce Pearson.

Figures 2, 4-5. Copyright Jeffrey M. Bradshaw.


Endnotes

[10] D&C 128:20. See also 2 Nephi 9:9; D&C 129:4–7; J. Smith, Jr., Teachings, 1 April 1842, pp. 204–205. Elder Parley P. Pratt wrote that “although [spirits not worthy to be glorified] often attempt to pass as angels of light there is more or less of darkness about them. So it is with Satan and his hosts who have not been embodied” (P. P. Pratt, Key, p. 72.).
[15] Moses 1:15, emphasis added. Similarly, in the *Conflict of Adam and Eve with Satan*, God warns Adam and Eve about Satan, saying: “This is he who promised you majesty and divinity. Where, then, is the beauty that was on him? Where is his divinity? Where is his light? Where is the glory that rested on him?” (S. C. Malan, *Adam and Eve*, 1:51, p. 56). Orlov describes the very face or countenance of the Devil as being clothed with darkness, while the face of the glorified visionary is bathed in light (A. A. Orlov, *Garment of Azazel*, p. 79). Joseph Smith also had to learn “by experience, how to discern between the spirit of Christ and the spirit of the Devil” (O. Cowdery, Letter 8, p. 200, spelling and capitalization modernized). According to an account by Oliver Cowdery, the Prophet, prior to obtaining the Book of Mormon plates, “beheld the prince of darkness, surrounded by his innumerable train of associates” and afterward was told the purpose of this vision by the angel Moroni: “All this is shown, the good and the evil, the holy and impure, the glory of God and the power of darkness, that you may know hereafter the two powers and never be influenced or overcome by that wicked one” (ibid., p. 198).

[23] The rhetorical complexity of Moses 1:20–21 seems deliberate. In v. 20, Moses received strength after calling upon God. In v. 21, these events are reported in reverse order. Rather than seeing in vv. 20–21 two instances of the same command for Satan to depart, we would suggest that the threefold report (calling upon God, receiving strength, command to depart) in the two verses is a description of the same event, repeated twice for emphasis. The description of the command to depart in verse 20 highlights the exclusivity of Moses’ worship and the corresponding description of the same event in verse 21 underlines the use of the name of the Only Begotten as part of the formal command. Note that v. 21 has a complex history of revisions. Cf. S. H. Faulring et al., *Original Manuscripts*, p 84; ibid., p. 593; 1866–67 *RLDS Publication*; and current edition of the Book of Moses used by Latter-day Saints. See also K. P. Jackson, *Book of Moses*, p. 62; R. J. Matthews, *What Is*, pp. 35–36.